

Los Angeles, CA 90025

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,267	02/02/2004	Justin K. Brask	042390P15744C	2317
7	590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
Michael A. Bernadicou			NOVACEK, CHRISTY L	
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		2822	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1-1	£

# **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,267	BRASK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christy L. Novacek	2822	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_ ...... A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): all rejections of claims 35-38, now canceled. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔲 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

## Advisory Action

This office action is in response to the proposed amendment filed February 15, 2006.

### Response to Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment to the claims has been entered and made of record. As such, all previously stated rejections of claims 35-38 are hereby withdrawn.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claim 27, as being unpatentable over Visokay et al. (US 20030045080) in view of Boyd et al. (US 6,845,778), Applicant argues that there is allegedly no motivation to combine the megasonic cleaning method of Boyd with the cleaning method of Visokay. Lines 6-9 in column 1 of Boyd state, "The present invention relates generally to surface cleaning and, more particularly, to a method and apparatus for megasonic cleaning following fabrication processes" (emphasis added). Additionally, lines 41-44 in column 8 of Boyd state, "As mentioned previously, liquid 166 includes cleaning chemistries designated for single wafer cleaning processes performed after various semiconductor process steps, such as CMP, etch, deposition, implant, etc." (emphasis added). Therefore, Boyd states that his cleaning method may be used after a step in which a material (such as the dielectric layer of Visokay) is deposited onto a semiconductor substrate in order to remove impurities therefrom. Furthermore, Visokay and Boyd both teach that using the same cleaning liquid (hydrogen peroxide) and Boyd teaches that it is advantageous to conduct a liquid cleaning process for layers of an integrated

<

Application/Control Number: 10/771,267

Art Unit: 2822

circuit in a megasonic cleaner because the application of sonic energy to the integrated circuit during the cleaning operation provide greater cleaning power and cleaning efficiency. Thus, the motivation to use the sonic energy taught by Boyd in the cleaning process disclosed by Visokay

is that the sonic energy provides greater cleaning power and cleaning efficiency. Therefore, this

rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN

February 27, 2006

ZANDRA V. SMITH
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 3

28 Feb Zoxo